22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	1				
2	2				
3	3				
4	4				
5	5				
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
8					
9					
10	DAVID E. RANDALL,	No. C 08-00354 WHA			
11	Plaintiff,				
12	v. v.	ORDER RE REQUEST TO			
13 14	and DOES 1 20 inclusive	EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINE			
15	Defendants				
16	5				
17	The Court is in receipt of the parties' stipulation and order for extension of the discover				
18	deadline. Good cause has not been shown to alter the existing case deadlines. The request to				
19	extend the discovery deadline is therefore DENIED , without prejudice. If the parties wish to				
20	arrange for depositions and other discovery to proceed beyond the cutoff date by mutual				
21	agreement, without Court enforcement or involvement, the parties may do so. All case				

ry deadlines, however, remain as set in the first amended case management scheduling order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 1, 2008

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE